



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/838,652 04/19/2001		David Kyle	TT4390	9231		
7590 12/15/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Kelly K. Kordzik			NAWAZ, ASAD M			
5400 Renaissan	ce Tower					
1201 Elm Street	t	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Dallas, TX 75	270	2155				

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/838,65	2	KYLE ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Asad M. N	awaz	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo	• •								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IS SOME THE MAINS IS SOME THE MAINS IS SOME THE MAINS IS SOME THE MAIN IN THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IN THE MAI	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. tory period will apply and wi II, by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 September 2	005.						
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26 and 40-52</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26, 40-52</u> is/are rejected.								
·-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objecti								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P10	O-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Ponation of Ponation		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the appeal brief filed 9/28/05. Claims 1-26 and 40-52 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-26 and 40-52 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Khanna.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 14-23 and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being taught by Khanna (USPN: 5978849).

As to claim 1, Khanna teaches a method for automatically restoring logon connectivity in a network system comprising the steps of

establishing a first connection between a client and an Internet gateway (a server receives a clients request for a connection; col 7, lines 42-57)

checking status of said first connection by issuing a first request to said

Application/Control Number: 09/838,652

- - -

Art Unit: 2155

Internet gateway to access a web server utilizing a protocol blocked under a logged off status (the TCB is searched for to see whether the connection for the same server port is within the TW\_TCB; col 7, lines 46-54);

determining whether said web server is accessed from said first request (col 7, lines 46-62); and

automatically attempting to establish a second connection to said Internet gateway if said web server was not accessed from said first request (a new connection is created if the first connection has failed; Fig 5; col 7, 46-57).

As to claim 2, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 1, wherein if said web server was accessed from said first request then the method further comprises the steps of: waiting for a first period of time (col 6, lines 56-67);

and checking status of said first connection by issuing a second request to said Internet gateway to access said web server utilizing said protocol blocked under said logged off status (col 7, lines 46 to col 8, line 20).

As to claim 3, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 2, wherein upon said attempting to establish said second connection to said Internet gateway the method further comprises the step of: waiting for a second period of time, wherein said second period of time is less than said first period of time; and checking status of said attempted second connection by issuing a third request to said Internet gateway to

Application/Control Number: 09/838,652

Art Unit: 2155

access said web server utilizing said protocol blocked under said logged off status (col 6, line 56 to col 7, line 30).

As to claim 4, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim I., wherein said first connection is established by a first logon procedure (col 7, line 42-46).

As to claim 5, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 4, wherein said step of attempting to establish said second connection comprises the steps of: terminating said first logon procedure; and executing a second logon procedure (col 7, lines 4-9 and col 7, lines 42-62).

As to claim 6, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 5 further comprising the step of waiting for a first period of time (abstract).

As to claim 7, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 6 further comprising the step of checking status of said attempted second connection by issuing a second request to said Internet gateway to access said web server utilizing said protocol blocked under said logged off status (col 7, lines 46 to col 8, line 20).

As to claim 8, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 7 further comprising the step of: determining whether said web server is accessed from said second request (col 7, line 55 to 62).

As to claim 9, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 8, wherein if said web server is accessed from said second request then the method further comprises the steps of waiting for a second period of time, wherein said first period of time is less than said second period of time; and checking status of said attempted second connection by issuing a third request to said Internet gateway to access said web server utilizing said protocol blocked under said logged off status (col 7, lines 46 to col 8, line 20).

As to claim 10, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 8, wherein if said web server was not accessed from said second request then the method further comprises the step of: automatically attempting to establish a third connection to said Internet gateway (col 7, lines 46 to col 8, line 20).

Claims 14-26 and 40-52 are essentially the system and the computer program product for the above-mentioned method claims and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 11-13, 24-26, and 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khanna in view of Official notice.

As to claims 11, 24, and 50, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 1, however does not teach wherein said protocol is a HyperText Transport Protocol.

Official notice is taken that It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate HTTP into Khanna because Khanna essentially teaches content sharing/transmission via online communications protocols like TCP to accomplish a similar task.

As to claim 12, 25, and 51, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 1, however does not teach wherein said protocol is a file transfer protocol.

Official notice is taken that It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate FTP into Khanna because Khanna essentially teaches content sharing/transmission via online communications protocols like TCP to accomplish a similar task.

As to claim 13, 26, and 52, Khanna teaches the method as recited in claim 1, however does not teach wherein said protocol is a telnet protocol.

Official notice is taken that It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate telnet into Khanna because Khanna essentially teaches content sharing/transmission via online communications protocols like TCP to accomplish a similar task.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,652

Art Unit: 2155

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**AMN** 

Page 7